arXiv:1512.02903v3 [math.CA] 28 Jun 2016

DOUBLING COVERINGS OF ALGEBRAIC HYPERSURFACES

OMER FRIEDLAND AND YOSEF YOMDIN

Abstract. A doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of a complex n-dimensional manifold Y consists of analytic functions $\psi_j : B_1 \to Y$, each function being analytically extendable, as a mapping to Y, to a four times larger concentric ball B_4.

Main result of this paper is an upper bound on the minimal number $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ of charts in doubling coverings of a manifold Y, being a compact part of a non-singular level hypersurface $Y = \{P = c\}$, where P is a polynomial on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerated critical points. We show that $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ is of order $\log(1/\rho)$, where ρ is the distance from Y to the singular set of P.

Our main motivation is that doubling coverings form a special class of "smooth parameterizations", which are used in bounding entropy type invariants in smooth dynamics on one side, and in bounding density of rational points in diophantine geometry on the other. Complexity of smooth parameterizations is a key issue in some important open problems in both areas.

We also present connections between doubling coverings and doubling inequalities for analytic functions f on Y, which compare the maxima of $|f|$ on couples of compact domains $\Omega \subset G$ in Y. We shortly indicate connections with Kobayashi metric and with Harnack inequality.

1. Introduction

Let Y be a complex n-dimensional manifold, and let $G \subset Y$ be a compact domain in Y. Let B_1 be the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. A doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of G in Y is a finite collection of analytic univalent functions $\psi_j : B_1 \to Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

Research of the second author was supported by the Israel Science Foundation, grant No. ISF 13/709.

1) The images (aka charts) $U_j = \psi_j(B_1)$ cover G.

2) Each ψ_j is extendible to a mapping $\tilde{\psi}_j : B_4 \to Y$, which is univalent in a neighborhood of B_4, where $B_4 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is the four times larger concentric ball of B_1. If B_4 is replaced by $B_\gamma, \gamma > 1$, then the covering is called γ-doubling.

Doubling coverings provide, essentially, a conformally invariant version of the Whitney's ball coverings of a domain $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, introduced in [29]. These coverings consist of balls B_j such that larger concentric balls γB_j are still in W (compare Section 2.3 below). In our definition we replace W by a complex manifold Y, while the balls B_j are replaced by the charts U_j. See a survey in [7, Chapter 6] for extensions and developments of Whitney coverings in other directions.

Introduction and study of doubling coverings in this paper is motivated mostly by the fact that they form a special class of "smooth parameterizations", which are used in bounding entropy type invariants in smooth dynamics on one side, and in bounding density of rational points in diophantine geometry on the other. In fact, a doubling covering is a complex counterpart of an "analytic parameterization", as introduced in [32] and further developed in [34, 35]. Other types of "smooth parameterizations" are C^k-ones, introduced in [30, 31] and studied in [8, 14, 23] and in other publications, where the size of the derivatives up to order k is controlled. In "mild parameterizations", introduced in [21] and further studied in [22, 26], and others, the growth rate of the derivatives up to infinity is controlled. There are prominent open problems in dynamics and in diophantine geometry (see, e.g. [9, 23, 32, 35] and references therein), where constructing analytic and mild parameterizations, and bounding their complexity, are expected to be important. Very recently an important progress in these problems was achieved in [3, 4, 10], in particular, via introducing a new type of "ramified analytic parameterization". We expect that these results will strongly contribute to a better understanding of various types of smooth parameterizations, and of their mutual relations.

Let Y be a non-singular level hypersurface

$$Y = Y_c = \{P = c\}$$

where P is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, with only isolated and non-degenerate critical points. Let $G_c = Y_c \cap Q$, where $Q = Q^n$ is a unit cube in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let $\delta > 0$ be the distance of Y_c to the critical points of P. We are interested in doubling coverings $\mathcal{U}$ of G_c in Y_c, as c approaches a certain critical value of P. In this case $\delta \to 0$, and the geometric complexity of Y_c (in particular, its curvature) near the critical points of P "blows up". One can expect that the minimal number $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ of charts in doubling coverings $\mathcal{U}$ of G_c in Y_c also tends to infinity. However, this problem turns out to be rather delicate: it was shown in [14] that for each fixed smoothness k the minimal number of charts in C^k-parameterizations of Y_c remains uniformly bounded, in terms of n and d only.

The main result of this paper is an upper bound on the complexity $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ of a doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of G_c in Y_c of the form

$$\kappa(\mathcal{U}) \leq C(P) \log(\frac{1}{\delta}).$$

In some special cases we provide also the lower bound for $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$, of the same form. So for doubling analytic coverings, in a strict contrast with C^k-parameterizations, their complexity, at least in some special cases, grows as a logarithm of the distance to complex singularities. We conjecture that this result remains true also for polynomials P with possibly degenerate (and non-isolated) singularities.

As the second main topic of this paper, we present various types of doubling inequalities, and demonstrate a very general explicit connection between them and chains of charts in doubling coverings on Y, closely resembling a well-known construction, applied in Harnack-type inequalities.

Let $\Omega \subset G$ be compact domains in Y. Let f be an analytic function in a neighborhood of G in Y, the doubling constant of f with respect to

Ω and G is the ratio

$$DC_f(G,\Omega) = \max_G |f(z)| / \max_\Omega |f(z)|.$$

Doubling inequalities provide an upper bound on $DC_f(G,\Omega)$ for various classes of analytic functions f on Y.

In recent years they have been intensively studied for algebraic functions, in connection with various problems in harmonic analysis and potential theory, differential equations, diophantine geometry, probability, complexity, etc. (see e.g. [6, 11, 24] and references therein). However, in these results the variety Y on which the doubling inequalities are considered, is usually fixed, while the degree of the restricted polynomials grows. An important question of the dependence of the doubling constant on Y (in particular, in families like Y_c above), remaind largely open. Using our main result on the complexity of the doubling coverings of Y_c, we show that for polynomials S of degree d_1 restricted to Y_c we have

$$DC_S(G,\Omega) \le (\frac{C_1}{\delta})^{C_2},$$

with C_1, C_2 depending on P, and on the degree d_1 of the restricted polynomial S.

We believe that the results of the present paper provide a step towards a better understanding of the behavior of the doubling constant in families of varieties Y. In particular, we expect that the assumption of non-degenerate singularities of P can be dropped, while preserving the polynomial dependence of the doubling constant on δ.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we introduce some notations and definitions with respect to doubling coverings, and discuss their connection to Kobayashi distance. The complexity of doubling coverings of Y depends only on a complex analytic structure of Y, and so one can hope to define, in its terms, certain invariants of Y. We make an initial step in this direction, showing that the length of chains (or the total complexity $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$) in doubling coverings $\mathcal{U}$, bounds the

Kobayashi distance on Y. We also give a special example of a doubling covering *with balls* of a punctured cube, which is obtained via a construction in the spirit of Whitney's covering lemma ([29], see also [25]), which provides a bound, depending only on the number of the removed points, but not on their position. We also discuss briefly the behavior of doubling chains with respect to Harnack-type inequalities for harmonic functions.

Section 3 is central in our presentation, we prove here our main result, providing "controlled" doubling coverings for algebraic hypersurfaces. We provide an explicit construction of a doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of G in Y, with the number of charts $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ of order $C(n,d)\log(c(n,d)/\rho)$. It is based on the special example of a doubling covering *with balls* of a punctured cube, and a quantitative implicit function theorem that we also provide.

Section 4 is of technical nature, preparing some basic results on doubling inequalities for p-valent functions on balls.

In Section 5 we give a very general form of a doubling inequality for analytic functions f on a manifold Y in terms of doubling coverings $\mathcal{U}$ of Y. We do so via continuation along chains of charts in $\mathcal{U}$. As a consequence of our upper bound on $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$, and this general doubling inequality, we obtain a doubling inequality on Y for the restrictions to Y of polynomials of certain degree.

Finally, Section 6 provides some examples. In particular, inverting the inequality of Section 5, and presenting specific polynomials S with a large doubling constant on Y, we obtain, in certain specific cases, a lower bound, of the same order $\log(1/\delta)$, on the number $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ of charts in doubling coverings $\mathcal{U}$ of Y.

2. Doubling coverings

In this section we introduce some notations and definitions with respect to doubling coverings, and discuss their connection to Kobayashi distance and Harnack-type inequalities. We also construct a special example of a doubling covering of a punctured cube in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let Y be a complex n-dimensional manifold, let $G \subset Y$ be a compact domain in Y, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a doubling covering of G in Y.

We call two charts U_i and U_j in $\mathcal{U}$ neighboring, if $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. For two neighboring charts U_i and U_j we define the intersection radius $\rho(U_i, U_j)$ as the maximal radius $\rho > 0$ such that both $\psi_i^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \subset B_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and $\psi_j^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \subset B_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ contain subballs of radius ρ (not necessarily concentric with B_1). In a similar way for $\Omega \subset G$ a subdomain in G, and a chart $U_j \in \mathcal{U}$ we define $\rho(U_j, \Omega)$ as the maximal radius $\rho > 0$ such that $\psi_j^{-1}(U_j \cap \Omega) \subset B_1$ contains a subball of radius ρ. We put $\rho(\mathcal{U}, \Omega) = \min_j \rho(U_j, \Omega)$.

A chain Ch in a covering $\mathcal{U}$ is a set $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$ of pairwise different indices, such that U_{j_p}, $U_{j_{p+1}}$ are neighboring for each $p = 1, \dots, n-1$. The length n of the chain Ch is denoted by $\ell(Ch)$. The collection $CH(z, \Omega, \mathcal{U})$ consists of all the chains $Ch = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\rho(U_{j_1}, \Omega) > 0$, while $z \in U_{j_n}$.

2.1. **Doubling coverings and Kobayashi metric.** Let Y be a complex n-dimensional manifold, and let $p, q \in Y$. The Kobayashi distance (or, more accurately, pseudo-distance) $d(p, q)$ is defined as follows [18]: choose points $p = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}, p_k = q \in Y$, points $a_1, \dots, a_k$, $b_1, \dots, b_k$ in the unit disk $D_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$, and holomorphic mappings $f_1, \dots, f_k$ from D_1 to Y, such that $f_i(a_i) = p_{i-1}$, $f_i(b_i) = p_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Form a sum $\sum_{i=1}^k \rho(a_i, b_i)$, where ρ is a Poincaré metric on D_1, and put $d(p, q)$ to be the infimum of these sums for all possible choices.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $G \subset Y$ be a connected compact domain, and let $p, q \in G$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a doubling covering of G in Y, and let Ch be a chain in $\mathcal{U}$ joining p and q. Then, the Kobayashi distance $d(p, q)$ satisfies*

$$d(p, q) \leq 3\ell(Ch) \leq 3\kappa(\mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Let $U_1, \dots, U_l$ be the charts in Ch. Denote $p_0 = p$, $p_l = q$, and for $i = 1, \dots, l-1$ pick p_i to be a point in $U_i \cap U_{i+1}$. Next, put $\tilde{a}_i = \psi_i^{-1}(p_{i-1})$, and $\tilde{b}_i = \psi_i^{-1}(p_i)$ in B_1. Now, define an affine map $T_i : D_1 \to B_4$, requiring the image $T_i(D_1)$ be the intersection disk $\tilde{D}$ of B_4 and of the complex line, passing through the points $\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i \in B_1$.

Clearly, the radius of $\tilde{D}$ is at least 3, while the points $\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i \in B_1$ belong to a concentric subdisk of $\tilde{D}$ of radius at most 1.

Finally, we put $a_i = T_i^{-1}(\tilde{a}_i)$, and $b_i = T_i^{-1}(\tilde{b}_i)$, and take $f_i = \psi_i \circ T_i$. It remains to notice that for each i our points a_i, b_i belong to the concentric disk $D_{1/3}$ of radius $\frac{1}{3}$ in D_1, and hence $\rho(a_i, b_i) \leq 3/2$. Indeed, the Poincaré metric on D_1 is given by $ds = \frac{2|dz|}{1-|z|^2}$. So inside $D_{1/3}$ we have $ds \leq 9/4|dz|$, and therefore the Poincaré distance $\rho(a_i, b_i)$ does not exceed $3/2$. □

2.2. **Doubling coverings and Harnack-type inequalities.** "Extension along chains" of doubling charts, which we use in Section 4 in order to obtain doubling inequalities, is one of the classical and widely used tools in study of Harnack-type inequalities for harmonic functions and, more generally, for solutions of certain classes of PDE's (see, e.g. [1] and references therein).

There is, however, an essential difference: usually, only coverings with balls are used. The reason is that a general complex analytic change of variables preserves harmonic functions only in complex dimension one. In the case of two or more variables already linear changes of variables, if not dilations, destroy the condition $\Delta f = 0$.

In our context, in Section 2.3 below a doubling covering *with balls* is constructed for the punctured cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We plan to extend this construction to the complements of algebraic varieties of higher dimensions, and apply it to Harnack-type inequalities for harmonic functions in [13]. However, the doubling charts on the level hypersurfaces Y constructed in Section 3 are nonlinear. So these charts cannot be applied to Harnack inequalities directly.

2.3. **γ-doubling ball covering of a punctured cube.** In this section we construct a γ-doubling ball covering of a punctured cube, where $\gamma > 1$ is the doubling factor. Our construction is inspired by the classical Whitney's covering lemma ([29]). A similar construction appears also in Calderón-Zygmund decomposition (e.g. see [25]). In fact, our construction works in the real space $\mathbb{R}^n$, and provides a covering with

Euclidean balls. Notice that a connection between the geometry of a closed set and of its tabular neighborhoods and counting Whitney cubes in the complement is well known (see [15,17,20] and references therein). We provide here an explicit (non-asymptotic) counting of the Whitney cubes, covering a δ-punctured unit cube, with the bound depending on the number of the deleted points, but not on their mutual position. We also describe explicitly the intersections of the corresponding covering balls.

Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open domain, and let $G \subset W$ be a compact set. A γ-doubling ball covering $\mathcal{U}$ of G in W is a collection of balls $B_j \subset W$, which covers G such that the concentric balls γB_j are contained in W.

In case when $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is the underlying real space of the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$, any γ-doubling ball covering $\mathcal{U}$ is a complex γ-doubling covering, with the mappings ψ_j being the linear scaling mappings of B_1 to B_γ.

Let $Q = [-1,1]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the n-dimensional unit cube, and let $z_1, \dots, z_d \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by U_δ a δ-neighborhood of $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$, and consider the domain $Q_\delta = Q \setminus U_\delta$, that is, we removed from Q balls of radius $\delta > 0$ around each point $z_1, \dots, z_d$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\gamma > 1$. There is a γ-doubling ball covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Q_δ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$ with at most*

$$d(3\sqrt{n}\gamma)^n \log(3n\gamma/\delta)$$

balls.

Moreover, for any v, w belonging to the same connected component of Q_δ, there exists a chain Ch in $\mathcal{U}$, joining v and w, such that for any two consequitive balls B_{j_1} and B_{j_2} in Ch the ratio of the radii of these balls is either $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 or 2, and the intersection $B_{j_1} \cap B_{j_2}$ contains a ball of the radius at least $1/3$ of the smaller of the radii.

Proof. We construct the balls B in the required γ-doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ as the circumscribed balls of certain sub-cubes in binary subdivisions of Q such that for any $B \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\{z_1, \dots, z_d\} \cap \gamma B = \emptyset. \tag{2.1}$$

For $s = 1, 2, \dots$ we call the closed sub-cubes Q_s, obtained by a subdivision of Q into 2^{ns} equal parts, the level-s sub-cubes, i.e. level-s sub-cubes are derived by subdividing level-$(s-1)$ sub-cubes into 2^n parts. Since some of the points $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$ may be out of Q, we extend this subdivision to the entire space $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that two sub-cubes Q_l^q, Q_r^p are neighbors if $Q_l^q \cap Q_r^p \neq \emptyset$, and the k-neighborhood of a given level-s sub-cube consists of all its neighbor level-s sub-cubes up to "distance" k. Naturally, a k-neighborhood contains at most $(2k+1)^n$ level-s sub-cubes (for any s). The length of an edge of a level-s sub-cube is $\text{edge}_s = 2/2^s$, and the radius of the corresponding ball is $r_s = \sqrt{n\,(2/2^s)^2}/2 = \sqrt{n}/2^s$. With these notation in hand, and in view of condition (2.1), we wrap each point z_j with its k-neighborhood so that the following inequality holds

$$\gamma r_s \leq k \cdot \text{edge}_s + \text{edge}_s/2 \tag{2.2}$$

which is satisfied for

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{\sqrt{n}\gamma - 1}{2} \right\rceil. \tag{2.3}$$

In other words, assume that $z_j \in Q_s$ then for any circumscribed ball B of a level-s sub-cube outside the k-neighborhood of Q_s we have $\gamma B \cap Q_s = \emptyset$.

Now, assume that in step s the collections $S_1, \dots, S_s, \Sigma_s$ of sub-cubes inside Q have been constructed, with the following properties:

1) S_l consists of certain level-l sub-cubes Q_l inside Q, their number is at most $(2k+1)^n 2^n d$. Denote by B_l the circumscribed ball of $Q_l \in S_l$, then B_l satisfies condition (2.1), that is, $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\} \cap \gamma B_l = \emptyset$.

2) The sub-cubes of S_l for $1 \leq l \leq s-1$ may have neighbors only from S_{l-1}, S_l, S_{l+1}, while sub-cube of S_s may have neighbors from S_{s-1}, S_s, Σ_s. Moreover, the "s-distance" between any sub-cube in Σ_s to sub-cubes in S_{s-1} is at least k.

3) Σ_s consists of exactly those level-s sub-cubes Q_s inside Q, which either contain some points of $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$, or which are in (level-s) k-neighborhoods of certain $\tilde{Q}_s$ containing points $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$. The number of sub-cubes in Σ_s is at most $(2k+1)^n d$. The sub-cubes in Σ_s may have non-empty intersection only with level-s sub-cubes of S_s, Σ_s.

4) The collections $S_1, \dots, S_s, \Sigma_s$ are disjoint and all their sub-cubes form a covering of Q.

The induction step. We proceed as follows, we subdivide each $Q_s \in \Sigma_s$ into 2^n equal sub-cubes Q_{s+1}. Altogether we get at most $(2k+1)^n 2^n d$ sub-squares.

Let Σ_{s+1} be the union of those Q_{s+1}, which either contain some points of $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$, or which are in (level-s) k-neighborhoods of certain $\tilde{Q}_s$ containing points $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$. The number of sub-cubes in Σ_{s+1} is at most $(2k+1)^n \cdot d$.

The sub-cubes in Σ_{s+1} have non-empty intersection only with level-$(s+1)$ sub-cubes. Indeed, by property 3 Σ_s consists of all the level-s sub-cubes Q_s inside Q, which are in s-distance at most k from the sub-cubes containing points $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$. After subdividing, the new level-$(s+1)$ k-neighborhood of $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$ is of $(s+1)$-distance at least $2 \cdot k - k = k$ from any level-s sub-cubes in S_s, and the in-between sub-cubes are of level-$(s+1)$ (these sub-cubes actually belong to S_{s+1}, as we shall see below). This proves, for Σ_{s+1}, property 3 and the last part of property 2.

Let S_{s+1} be the union of the remaining Q_{s+1}, their number is at most $(2k+1)^n 2^n d$. Clearly, S_{s+1}, Σ_{s+1} are disjoint. Each Q_{s+1} may have non-empty intersection with level-s sub-cubes of S_s, and with level-$(s+1)$ sub-cubes of S_{s+1}, Σ_{s+1} (as we subdivide Σ_s and it has neighbors from S_s). It also means that now, after subdivision, sub-cubes of S_s may have non-empty intersection with sub-cubes of S_{s+1}. However, sub-cubes of S_{s+1} cannot intersect sub-cubes of S_{s-1}. Indeed, they appear in subdivision of sub-cubes in Σ_s, which are at s-distance from S_{s-1} at least k, by the last part of property 2.

For each $Q_{s+1} \in S_{s+1}$ we build the circumscribed concentric ball B_{s+1}. By inequality (2.2) and the choice of k (i.e. the construction of Σ_{s+1}) the concentric ball γB_{s+1} does not contain the points $z_1, \ldots, z_d$. This completes the proof of properties 1 and 2.

We've subdivided only sub-cubes in Σ_s. So, the collections $S_1, \ldots, S_s, S_{s+1}$, Σ_{s+1} are disjoint, and their sub-cubes form a covering of Q. Note that it could be that $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ are empty, for t which satisfies $2^t \leq 2k+1$. This completes the proof of property 4 and the induction step.

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2. By property 1, each S_l contains at most $(2k+1)^n 2^n d$ sub-cubes of level l. Hence, the total number of sub-cubes in

$$\mathcal{S}_s = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_s$$

is at most $s(2k+1)^n 2^n d \leq sd(3\sqrt{n}\gamma)^n$ (by the choice of k in (2.3)).

On the other hand, if $\Sigma_s \subset U_\delta$ then the process stops, and thus the collection of the circumscribed balls of the sub-cubes in $\mathcal{S}_s$ provides a γ-doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Q_δ. So, if the maximal possible distance $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n\left((2k+1)\frac{2}{2^s}\right)^2}$ of points in Σ_s from $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ is equal to δ, or $s = \log(3n\gamma/\delta)$ then Σ_s is contained in U_δ, and for this value of s the process stops. Therefore, the total number of sub-cubes in $\mathcal{S}_s$ is at most

$$d(3\sqrt{n}\gamma)^n \log(3n\gamma/\delta).$$

It remains to find, for any v, w in the same connected component of Q_δ, a chain Ch in $\mathcal{U}$ joining v and w, such that for any two neighbor balls B_{j_1} and B_{j_2} in Ch the ratio of the radii of these balls is either 1 or 2, and the intersection $B_{j_1} \cap B_{j_2}$ contains a ball of radius at least $1/3$ of the smaller of the radii.

We construct a chain Ch in $\mathcal{U}$ joining v, w along a certain continuous path ω in Q_δ. We can assume that ω intersects only with the interiors of the subdivision sub-cubes, and with their faces of dimension $n-1$, but does not touch faces of smaller dimensions. Since the sub-cubes in $\mathcal{S}_s$ form a covering of Q_δ, following ω, taking the subsequent sub-cubes, crossed by ω, and omitting possible repetitions, we find a chain $\hat{Ch}$ of

sub-cubes in $\mathcal{S}_s$ joining v and w, in which any two neighboring sub-cubes not only have a non-empty intersection, but, in fact, intersect along a part of their common face of dimension $n-1$. Now, we define Ch as the chain of the circumscribed balls for $\hat{C}h$.

By property 1 above the levels of the neighboring sub-cubes in $\hat{C}h$ may differ at most by one. Hence, the ratio of the radii of the corresponding circumscribed balls is either $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 or 2. Indeed, the ratio between two neighbor balls of level l and $l+1$ is

$$r_l/r_{l+1} = (\sqrt{n}/2^l)/(\sqrt{n}/2^{l+1}) = 2.$$

Finally, an easy geometric calculation shows that in case when the neighboring sub-cubes in $\mathcal{U}$ intersect along a part of their common face of dimension $n-1$, the intersection of the circumscribed balls balls contains a ball of the radius at least $1/3$ of the smaller of the radii. Consider such sub-cubes of levels s and $s+1$, the case of sub-cubes of the same level being completely similar. Now, the largest distance between the centers of two neighbor sub-cubes of level s and $s+1$ with a common face is obtained (after putting in a standard position) for Q_s with center at $A = (\frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^s}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^s})$, and Q_{s+1} with center at $B = (\frac{2}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^{s+1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^{s+1}})$, where Q_{s+1} is placed in a corner of an $(n-1)$-dimensional face of Q_s

$$\begin{aligned}\|A-B\| &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^s} - \left(\frac{2}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^{s+1}}\right)\right)^2 + (n-1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^s} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{2^{s+1}}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n+8}}{2^{s+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

The maximal radius r of a ball, which can be placed inside the intersection of the corresponding circumscribed balls of Q_s, Q_{s+1} is given by

$$r = \frac{1}{2}(r_s + r_{s+1} - |A-B|) = \frac{3\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n+8}}{2^{s+2}}.$$

Thus, the ratio of the radii of this ball and of a ball of level-$s+1$ is

$$r/r_{s+1} = \frac{3\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n+8}}{2^{s+2}}\frac{2^{s+1}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{n}} \geq \frac{1}{3}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Note that the bound of Theorem 2.2 is sharp with respect to the parameters d, γ and δ, up to coefficients depending only on the dimension n. Consider the case of only one point $z_1 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a γ-doubling ball covering of Q_δ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Each ball B in $\mathcal{U}$, centered at z_0 of radius R satisfies $R\gamma < ||z_0||$. So to cover a spherical shell $\gamma R \leq ||z|| \leq \gamma R + 1$ we need at least $C_1(n)\gamma^{n-1}$ balls in $\mathcal{U}$. Now, to "reach" the δ-neighborhood of 0 we need $\log(C_2(n)\gamma/\delta)$ concentric spherical shells as above. Finally, for several points $z_1, \ldots, z_d$, and for δ small enough, we can apply the above considerations to each point z_j separately. Altogether we obtain a lower bound for $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ of the form $dC_1(n)\gamma^{n-1}\log(C_2(n)\gamma/\delta)$.

Remark 1. *In the construction of the chain above it was not necessary to require the subsequent sub-cubes to have a common part of an $(n-1)$-face. Instead we could require them only to intersect by more than a vertex. This would just provide an absolute bound smaller than $1/3$ for the radius of the ball in the intersection.*

Remark 2. *Theorem 3 of* [28] *compares the multiplicities of a covering with certain balls, and with the twice larger concentric balls. It would be interesting to see implications of this result for Whitney-type doubling coverings.*

3. Covering algebraic hypersurfaces

Let $P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq d} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ be a polynomial of degree d on $\mathbb{C}^n$, with the usual multi-index notations: for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$. We denote the ℓ_1-norm of P by $\|P\|_1 = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq d} |a_\alpha|$.

The complex singular set $\Sigma = \Sigma(P)$ of the polynomial P is defined by vanishing of all the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial P}{\partial z_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. For a generic P its singular set consists of isolated and non-degenerate critical points: $\Sigma(P) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$. By Bézout theorem $m \leq (d-1)^n$, and a strict inequality may happen if some of singular points of P are at infinity.

In what follows we always assume that the polynomial P is normalized, i.e. $\|P\|_1 = 1$, and that *all the affine complex singular points* $w_1, \dots, w_m$ *of* P *are isolated and non-degenerate*. In particular, this assumption implies, for $z \in Q$ (now Q denotes the *complex* n-dimensional unit cube), the following inequality

$$K(P)\mathrm{dist}(z, \Sigma) \leq \|\nabla P(z)\| \leq nd^4\mathrm{dist}(z, \Sigma) \tag{3.1}$$

where the gradient $\nabla P(z) = (\frac{\partial P(z)}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial P(z)}{\partial z_n})$, $K(P) > 0$ is a constant depending on P, and $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual Euclidean norm of the gradients. The upper bound for $\|\nabla P(z)\|$ easily follows from Markov's inequality: the second partial derivatives of P are bounded for $z \in Q$ by $nd^4\|P\|_1 = nd^4$. Integrating along the straight segment from z to the nearest point in Σ we obtain $\|\nabla P(z)\| \leq nd^4\mathrm{dist}(z, \Sigma)$. However, the bound from below for $\|\nabla P(z)\|$ of the form (3.1) is valid only under our "general position" assumption.

Let us stress that the constant $K(P)$ in (3.1) depends not only on the degree of the polynomial P, but *on its specific coefficients*. It can be bounded from below in terms of the minimal eigenvalues of the Hessians of P at the critical points in $\Sigma(P) = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$. To simplify the presentation, we just take $K(P)$ as an explicit input parameter. Notice that by (3.1) we always have $K(P) \leq nd^4$.

We consider complex algebraic hypersurfaces Y, which are the level sets of P

$$Y = \{P(z) = c\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

where c is assumed to be a regular value of P. Thus, Y is a nonsingular submanifold of dimension $d-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $P(z)$ be a normalized polynomial on $\mathbb{C}^n$ of degree $d \geq 2$, with isolated and non-degenerate critical points $\Sigma = \Sigma(P) = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$, so P satisfies condition* (3.1) *with $K = K(P)$.*

Let $Y = \{P(z) = c\}$ be a regular level hypersurface of P. Denote $G = Y \cap Q$, and put $\delta = \mathrm{dist}(G, \Sigma(P)) > 0$. Then, there exists a

doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ *of* G *in* Y *with* $\rho(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{1}{10}$ *and*

$$\kappa(\mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{C_1(n,d)}{K^{2n}} \log(\frac{C_2(n,d)}{K\delta})$$

where the constants $C_1(n,d), C_2(n,d)$ *depend only on* n, d.

Proof. The main steps of the proof are as follows: as usual in differential topology, we produce doubling covering charts of Y (which are special coordinate charts), using implicit function theorem. However, to count these charts, we need a "quantitative" version of this theorem, stated below. It produces, at a given point $z \in Y$, a coordinate chart of the size proportional to the norm of the gradient $\nabla P(z)$. So, near the critical points of P we need more charts. By our assumptions the hypersurface Y is at the distance at least δ from the critical set $\Sigma = \Sigma(P)$. Hence, it is contained in $Q_\delta = Q \setminus \Sigma_\delta$, where Σ_δ is the δ-neighborhood of Σ. So, in order to control the construction explicitly, we apply Theorem 2.2, with γ of order $1/K$, and obtain a γ-doubling ball covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Q_δ, with $C(n,d,\gamma)\log(c(n,d,\gamma)/\delta)$ charts. Because of the assumption (3.1) on P, in each ball of $\mathcal{U}$ we get a lower bound on the norm of $\nabla P(z)$.

Now, we present the construction in detail. We use the following notations: $B_R = B^n_R$ is a complex ball of dimension n and of radius R. Assuming that a coordinate system $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is fixed, we consider $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ corresponding to the first $n-1$ coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$. For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ we denote $\bar{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ its projection to $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, and we denote $\bar{B}_R = B^{n-1}_R$ a complex ball of dimension $n-1$ and of radius R. Finally, a "diskoball" DB_R is a product $\bar{B}_R \times D_R$ of the ball of radius R with respect to the first $n-1$ coordinates, and a disk of radius R with respect to the last coordinate. We shall use a certain quantitative version of the standard implicit function theorem. Various settings of this result are known (see e.g. [5, 33]), however, they do not cover exactly the specific statements of the result below, so we provide a short proof.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ *be a complex analytic function on an open (sufficiently large) domain* $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \in W$. *Assume that* $f(0) =$

0, $\frac{\partial f(0)}{\partial z_j} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, while $|\frac{\partial f(0)}{\partial z_n}| = \eta > 0$. Assume also that the supremum on W of the absolute value of the second partial derivatives of f does not exceed M. Put $\theta = \frac{\eta}{50M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}}$. Then, the following properties hold:

1) Inside the diskoball DB_θ centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the set of zeroes of f, i.e. $Y = \{z : f(z) = 0\}$, is a regular analytic hypersurface, which is the graph of a regular analytic function $z_n = \phi(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$, with $\|\nabla\phi\| \le \frac{1}{49}$ on $\bar{B}_\theta$.

2) Inside the diskoball DB_θ the hypersurface Y is contained in a tabular neighborhood W_ν of the coordinate hyperplane $z_n = 0$, of the size $\nu = \frac{\theta}{49}$. The projection $\pi : Y \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $\pi(z) = \bar{z}$, restricted to $Y \cap DB_\theta$, shortens distances at most as $1 : \sqrt{1 + (1/49)^2} \ge 0.99$.

Proof. For each $z \in DB_\theta$, integrating the appropriate second derivatives of f along the real segment $[0, z]$ (whose length does not exceed $\sqrt{2}\theta$) we get for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_j}\right| \le \sqrt{2n}M\theta = \frac{\eta}{50\sqrt{n-1}}\ , \quad \left|\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n} - \eta\right| \le \frac{\eta}{50\sqrt{n-1}}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, $|\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n} - \eta| \le \frac{\eta}{50}$, and hence $|\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n}| \ge \frac{49}{50}\eta$. Applying to f the standard (local) implicit function theorem at each point $z \in Y \cap DB_\theta$, we conclude that there is a neighborhood $V \subset \bar{B}_\theta$ of $\bar{z}$ such that over V the set $Y = \{f(z) = 0\}$ is the graph of a regular analytic function $z_n = \phi(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$. It is easy to see that these local functions define in fact a unique regular analytic function $z_n = \phi(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ over the entire ball $\bar{B}_\theta$. Indeed, on each line L parallel to Oz_n inside DB_θ and for any $z_n, z_n' \in L$ we have, via (3.2)

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n) - f(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n') \approx \eta(z_n - z_n')$$

and hence f may have on L at most one zero inside DB_θ.

Next, by the chain rule and by (3.2) we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial\phi(0)}{\partial z_j}\right| = \left|\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_j}/\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n}\right| \le \frac{1}{49\sqrt{n-1}}\ , \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and hence $||\nabla\phi(z)|| \leq \frac{1}{49}$. For each $\bar{z} \in \bar{B}_\theta$ integrating along the real segment $[0, \bar{z}]$ we get $|z_n| = |\phi(\bar{z})| \leq \frac{1}{49}\theta$, and hence inside the diskoball DB_θ the hypersurface Y is contained in a tabular neighborhood W_ν of the coordinate hyperplane $z_n = 0$, of the size $\nu = \frac{\theta}{49}$. Finally, for $z'_n = \phi(\bar{z}')$ and $z''_n = \phi(\bar{z}'')$ integrating along the real segment $[\bar{z}', \bar{z}'']$ we get $|z'_n - z''_n| \leq \frac{1}{49}||\bar{z}' - \bar{z}''||$. So the projection $\pi : Y \to \bar{B}_\theta$ shortens distances at most as $1 : \sqrt{1 + (1/49)^2} \geq 0.99$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

In order to use Theorem 3.2, we recall that by Markov's inequality the second partial derivatives of P are bounded for $z \in Q$ by $nd^4\|P\|_1 = nd^4$. So, we put $M = nd^4$. Now, we cover Y with diskoballs $DB^j_{r_j}$, centered at $z_j \in Y$, whose radii r_j satisfy the requirement $r_j \leq \|\nabla P(z_j)\|/50M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}$ of Theorem 3.2. To build DB^j we first apply Theorem 2.2 to $Q_\delta = Q \setminus \Sigma_\delta$, with

$$\gamma = \frac{600M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}}{K} + 1 = \frac{600nd^4\sqrt{2n(n-1)}}{K} + 1.$$

This theorem provides a γ-doubling ball covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Q_δ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, with $\kappa(\mathcal{U}) \leq m(3\sqrt{2n}\gamma)^{2n}\log(6n\gamma/\delta)$ (since by assumptions of Theorem 3.1 the number of critical points w_j of P in Σ is $m \leq (d-1)^n$, while the real dimension is $2n$). This yields

$$\kappa(\mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{C_1(n,d)}{K^{2n}}\log(\frac{C_2(n,d)}{K\delta})$$

where we can put, taking into account that $K \leq nd^4$, and after some simplifications, $C_1(n,d) = (4000n^2d^5)^{2n}$, $C_2(n,d) = 6000n^3d^4$. This is the complexity bound required in Theorem 3.1, so it remains to construct the required covering of Y subordinated to the covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Q_δ in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $B^j \in \mathcal{U}$ be a ball of radius R_j, and let $z \in B^j$. Then, we have*

$$\|\nabla P(z)\| \geq 600\sqrt{2n(n-1)}MR_j.$$

Proof. Since, by definition of the γ-doubling ball covering $\mathcal{U}$ the concentric ball $\tilde{B}^j$ to B^j of radius $\gamma R_j = (\frac{600M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}}{K} + 1)R_j$ does not

touch Σ, we conclude that for each $z \in B^j$ we have $\mathrm{dist}(z,\Sigma) \geq \frac{600M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}}{K} R_j$. By (3.1) we obtain

$$\|\nabla P(z)\| \geq K\mathrm{dist}(z,\Sigma) \geq 600M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}R_j.$$

□

Now, we proceed as follows, consider all the balls $B^j \in \mathcal{U}$, which intersect Y. For each B^j we fix a point $z^j \in B^j \cap Y$. Applying a unitary coordinate transformation, we define a new coordinate system $(v_1,\dots,v_n)$ at z^j, such that the direction of the last coordinate axis Ov_n coincides with the direction of $\nabla P(z^j)$.

Finally, we fix a diskoball $DB^j = DB^j_{r_j}$, with respect to $(v_1,\dots,v_n)$, centered at z^j, with $r_j = 12R_j$. By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\frac{\|\nabla P(z_j)\|}{50M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}} \geq \frac{600\sqrt{2n(n-1)}MR_j}{50M\sqrt{2n(n-1)}} = 12R_j = r_j.$$

So, the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied for the polynomial $P(z) - P(z_0) = P(z) - c$ on the diskoball DB^j. We conclude that $Y \cap DB^j_{r_j}$ is a graph of a regular analytic function $v_n = \phi_j(v_1,\dots,v_{n-1})$, such that $\|\nabla\phi_j(v_1,\dots,v_{n-1})\| \leq \frac{1}{49}$ on the ball $\bar{B}^j_{r_j}$. Denote by $\tilde{\phi}_j$ the corresponding mapping of $\bar{B}^j_{r_j}$ to Y:

$$\tilde{\phi}_j(v_1,\dots,v_{n-1}) = (v_1,\dots,v_{n-1},\phi_j(v_1,\dots,v_{n-1})).$$

Finally, we apply a linear mapping λ_j of the unit ball $\bar{B}_1$ to the concentric ball $\bar{B}^j_{r_j/4}$ of a four time smaller size, and define a chart ψ_j in the covering $\mathcal{U}_Y$ of Y under construction as $\psi_j = \tilde{\phi}_j \circ \lambda_j$. It remains to show that the images $U_j = \psi_j(B_1)$ of the charts ψ_j form a doubling covering of $Y \cap Q$ with the required properties.

1) Clearly, ψ_j are extendable from $\bar{B}_1$ to $\bar{B}_4$ and remain there univalent. Indeed, with λ_j we shrink four times the domain, provided by the implicit function theorem.

2) The charts $U_j = \psi_j(B_1)$ form a covering of $Y \cap Q$. Indeed, put $\hat{D}B^j = DB^j_{r_j/4} = DB^j_{3R_j}$. We have $U_j = Y \cap \hat{D}B^j$. But the diskoball $\hat{D}B^j$ contains the ball $B^j_{2R_j}$, and already the balls $B^j_{R_j}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ cover Q^n_δ.

Since, by conditions $Y \subset Q_\delta$, we conclude that the diskoballs $\hat{D}B^j$, intersecting Y, and hence the charts $U_j = Y \cap \hat{D}B^j$, form a covering of Y.

3) For each two points u_1, u_2 belonging to the same connected component of $Y \cap Q$ there is a chain Ch in $\mathcal{U}_Y$ joining u_1 and u_2, with $\rho(U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}) \geq 1/10$ for each couple of subsequent charts U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}. Indeed, consider a curve ω joining u_1 and u_2 in $Y \cap Q$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can assume that ω does not touch faces of real dimension smaller than $2n-1$ in the sub-cubes constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.2. (If necessary, we bring the coordinate system in $\mathbb{C}^n$ in general position with respect to Y). Marking the subsequent sub-cubes along ω and omitting repetitions, we obtain, taking the corresponding balls in $\mathcal{U}$, a chain of balls B^{j_s} in $\mathcal{U}$, which covers ω, such that for each couple of subsequent balls the intersection $Y \cap Q \cap B^{j_s} \cap B^{j^{s+1}}$ is non-empty, while the corresponding sub-cubes intersect along a common $(n-1)$-face. By the construction, for the chain Ch of charts U_{j_s} in $\mathcal{U}_Y$ with the same indices, each couple of subsequent charts U_{j_s} has a non-empty intersection.

4) Consider now a couple of subsequent charts, say, U_1, U_2, in Ch. By our construction, for the corresponding balls B^1 and B^2 in $\mathcal{U}$ the intersection $Y \cap Q \cap B^1 \cap B^2$ is non-empty. By Theorem 2.2, the ratio of the radii R_1 and R_2 is $\frac{1}{2}$, 1, or 2, and their intersection contains a ball of radius at least $\frac{1}{3}$ of the smallest of R_1 and R_2. Now, we notice that the intersection of the charts U_1, U_2 on Y contains the intersection $B^1_{2R_1} \cap B^2_{2R_2} \cap Y$ of the twice larger ball concentric to B^1 and B_2. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.2, inside the diskoball DB^1 the hypersurface Y is contained in a tabular neighborhood W_ν of the size $\nu = r_1/49$ of the coordinate hyperplane $v_n = 0$. The inverse mapping $\psi_1^{-1} : U_1 \to \hat{B}_1$ is just the projection to the first $n-1$ coordinates $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$, and by Theorem 3.2, it shortens distances at most to a factor 0.99. Now, an easy calculation, shows that $\rho(U_1, U_2) \geq \frac{1}{10}$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

4. Doubling inequalities on balls

4.1. **Doubling inequalities on concentric one-dimensional disks.** In this paper we work with algebraic functions. However, it is technically convenient to consider (in dimension one) a much larger class of p-valent functions. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f(z)$ be an analytic function in a domain $W \subset \mathbb{C}$. The function $f(z)$ is said to be p-valent in W if the equation $f(z) = c$ has at most p roots for any complex c. The study of p-valent functions is a classical topic in complex analysis (see [16] and references therein).

The following theorem presents one of possible accurate formulations of the connection between p-valency and doubling inequalities, which is convenient for our purposes. For more general settings see e.g. [24, 27]. One can get sharper constants replacing p-valent functions with (s, p)-valent ones, as defined in [12], but we try to keep analytic tools to the minimum in this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 > \alpha > \beta > 0$, and let $f(z)$ be p-valent in the disk D. Then, $f(z)$ satisfies a doubling inequality with respect to the disks $\beta D \subset \alpha D \subset D$ so that*

$$DC_f(\alpha D, \beta D) \leq ((p+1)\alpha^p + A'_p/(1-\alpha)^{2p+1})/\beta^p =: c_p(\alpha, \beta)$$

where A'_p depends only on p.

Proof. First, we recall the classical result of Biernacki [2]:

Proposition 4.2 (Biernacki)**.** *Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be p-valent in the disk D_1. Then, for any $k \geq p+1$*

$$|a_k| \leq A_p \max_{i=0,\dots,p} |a_i| k^{2p-1}$$

where A_p depends only on p.

Via rescaling it is enough to consider only the unit disk $D = D_1$. Put $m = \max_{\beta D} |f|$. By Cauchy formula, applied to βD for any k we have $|a_k| \leq m/\beta^k$. Hence, by Proposition 4.2 for $k \geq p+1$ we get

$$|a_k| \leq A_p k^{2p-1} m/\beta^p.$$

Now, we obtain an upper bound for $|f|$ on αD

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\alpha D}|f(z)| &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|a_k|\alpha^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p}|a_k|\alpha^k + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}|a_k|\alpha^k \\ &\le \sum_{k=0}^{p}\frac{m\alpha^k}{\beta^k} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\frac{A_p k^{2p-1} m\alpha^k}{\beta^p} \\ &= \left(\frac{1-(\alpha/\beta)^{p+1}}{1-\alpha/\beta} + \frac{A_p}{\beta^p}\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}k^{2p-1}\alpha^k\right)\max_{\beta D}|f|.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall analyse the constant above. First, let us recall the polylog function $\mathrm{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^k}{k^s}$. Note that the infinite sum $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}k^{2p-1}\alpha^k$ is in fact a tail of $\mathrm{Li}_s(z)$ with the parameters $s = 1-2p$ and $z = \alpha$. In this case, when $s = -n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following formula (e.g. see [19])

$$\mathrm{Li}_{-n}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{n+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{n-1,k-1}z^k$$

where the coefficients (aka Eulerian numbers) can be obtained by the recurrence equation $a_{n,k} = (n+1-k)a_{n-1,k-1} + ka_{n-1,k}$. Thus, for $s = 1-2p$ and $z = \alpha$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1-(\alpha/\beta)^{p+1}}{1-\alpha/\beta} + \frac{A_p}{\beta^p}\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}k^{2p-1}\alpha^k \le \\ &\qquad\le \frac{1-(\alpha/\beta)^{p+1}}{1-\alpha/\beta} + \frac{A_p}{\beta^p(1-\alpha)^{2p}}\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1}a_{2p,k-1}\alpha^k \\ &\qquad\le \frac{1-(\alpha/\beta)^{p+1}}{1-\alpha/\beta} + \frac{A'_p}{\beta^p(1-\alpha)^{2p}}\sum_{k=1}^{2p-1}\alpha^k \\ &\qquad\le \frac{1-(\alpha/\beta)^{p+1}}{1-\alpha/\beta} + \frac{A'_p}{\beta^p(1-\alpha)^{2p}}\cdot\frac{1-\alpha^{2p}}{1-\alpha} \\ &\qquad\le (p+1)(\alpha/\beta)^p + \frac{A'_p}{\beta^p(1-\alpha)^{2p+1}} = c_p(\alpha,\beta)\end{aligned}$$

where A'_p is another constant, which depends only on p. □

4.2. **Concentric higher-dimensional balls.** We consider analytic functions $f(z_1,\dots,z_n)$ of complex variables $z = (z_1,\dots,z_n)$. Let $W \subset$

$\mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain. A function $f(z)$ analytic on W is called sectionally p-valent, if it possesses the following property: for each straight line L the restriction f_L of f to $L \cap W$ is p-valent. Algebraic functions f of degree d are sectionally $p(d)$-valent by the Bézout theorem, as well as other important classes of functions.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 > \alpha > \beta > 0$, and let $f(z)$ be sectionally p-valent in the ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Then, $f(z)$ satisfies a doubling inequality with respect to the balls $\beta B \subset \alpha B \subset B$, with the doubling constant $DC_f(\alpha B, \beta B) \leq c_p(\alpha, \beta)$.*

Proof. Let $z \in \alpha B$. Consider the complex straight line L passing through the points 0 and z, and let f_L be the restriction of f to L. Now, applying Theorem 4.1 to f_L with $\beta B \cap L \subset \alpha B \cap L \subset B \cap L$, we obtain the required inequality for $f_L(z) = f(z)$

$$|f(z)| \leq \max_{\alpha B \cap L} |f_L| \leq DC_f(\alpha B \cap L, \beta B \cap L) \max_{\beta B \cap L} |f_L| \leq c_p(\alpha, \beta) \max_{\beta B} |f|.$$

□

4.3. **Doubling inequalities on non-concentric balls.** Now, we extend the doubling inequality for sectionally p-valent functions, provided by Theorem 4.3 to couples of non-concentric balls.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $f(z)$ be sectionally p-valent in the ball B_4, and let B_1 be the concentric ball. Let $\Delta_\rho \subset B_1$ be a ball of radius ρ in B_1, not necessarily concentric to it. Then,*

$$DC_f(B_1, \Delta_\rho) \leq c_p/\rho^p$$

where $c_p > 0$ depends only on p.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{\hat{\rho}} \subset B_4$ be the maximal sub-ball of B_4 concentric to Δ_ρ. We have $3 < \hat{\rho} < 4$. Consider now the ball $\Delta_{\hat{\rho}/2}$ concentric to Δ_ρ. By Theorem 4.3, applied to the concentric balls $\Delta_\rho \subset \Delta_{\hat{\rho}/2} \subset \Delta_{\hat{\rho}}$ we get

$$\max_{\Delta_{\hat{\rho}/2}} |f| \leq c_p(\hat{\rho}/2\hat{\rho}, \rho/\hat{\rho}) \max_{\Delta_\rho} |f|.$$

Now, notice that $\Delta_{\hat{\rho}/2}$ contains the disk $B_{1/2}$ concentric to B_1. Hence, $\max_{B_{1/2}} |f| \leq \max_{\Delta_{\hat{\rho}/2}} |f|$. Once more, By Theorem 4.3, applied to the

concentric disks $B_{1/2} \subset B_1 \subset B_4$, we conclude that

$$\max_{B_1} |f| \leq c_p(1/4, 1/8) \max_{B_{1/2}} |f|.$$

Taking these two inequalities into account yields

$$DC_f(B_1, \Delta_\rho) \leq c_p(1/4, 1/8) c_p(1/2, \rho/\hat{\rho}).$$

Finally, simply observe that the constant $c_p(1/4, 1/8)c_p(1/2, \rho/\hat{\rho})$ can be written as c_p/ρ^p, where $c_p > 0$ depends only on p. □

5. Doubling inequalities on complex manifolds

In this section we give a very general form of a doubling inequality for analytic functions f on a manifold Y that are sectionally p-valent with respect to a certain fixed doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Y. We do so via continuation along chains of charts in $\mathcal{U}$.

An analytic function f on Y is called sectionally p-valent with respect to the doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of Y if for each chart U_j in $\mathcal{U}$ the function $f_j = f \circ \psi_j$ is sectionally p-valent in B_4. Certainly, polynomials or algebraic functions on algebraic manifolds Y satisfy this property for each covering $\mathcal{U}$ with algebraic charts, with p depending only on the degrees of the algebraic objects involved.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Y be a complex manifold, $\Omega \subset G$ be compact domains in Y, and $z \in G$. Let f be an analytic function in a neighborhood of G in Y, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a doubling covering of G in Y such that f is sectionally p-valent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. Then, we have*

$$|f(z)| \leq K(z, \Omega, f) \max_{\Omega} |f|$$

where

$$K(z, \Omega, f) = \inf_{Ch \in CH(z,\Omega,\mathcal{U})} \frac{c_p^{\ell(Ch)}}{\rho(U_{j_1}, \Omega)^p \prod_{m=1}^{\ell(Ch)-1} \rho(U_{j_m}, U_{j_{m+1}})^p}$$

and $c_p > 0$ being the constant from Corollary 4.4.

Proof. By the assumptions, for each chart U_j of $\mathcal{U}$ the function $f_j = f \circ \psi_j$ is sectionally p-valent in B_4. Let $Ch = \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ be a chain in $CH(z, \Omega, \mathcal{U})$. By renaming the indices we may assume that $Ch =$

$\{1,\dots,n\}$. By the definition of $\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})$, there is a subball $\Delta_{\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})}$ of radius $\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})$, such that

$$\Delta_{\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})}\subset\psi_{j+1}^{-1}(U_j\cap U_{j+1})\subset B_1.$$

Thus, by the definition of f_{j+1} we have

$$\max_{\Delta_{\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})}}|f_{j+1}|\le\max_{\psi_{j+1}^{-1}(U_j\cap U_{j+1})}|f_{j+1}|=\max_{U_j\cap U_{j+1}}|f|\le\max_{U_j}|f|.$$

Now, applying Corollary 4.4 to f_{j+1}, we have

$$\max_{B_1}|f_{j+1}|\le\frac{c_p}{\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})^p}\max_{\Delta_{\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})}}|f_{j+1}|.$$

Thus, by combining these two inequalities, we conclude

$$\max_{U_{j+1}}|f|=\max_{B_1}|f_{j+1}|\le\frac{c_p}{\rho(U_j,U_{j+1})^p}\max_{U_j}|f|.$$

This allows us to pass from one chart to the next along the chain. Verbally repeating this calculation, as we pass from Ω to U_1 in the chain, we get for each chain $Ch\in CH(z,\Omega,\mathcal{U})$

$$|f(z)|\le\max_{U_n}|f|\le\frac{c_p^{\ell(Ch)}}{\rho(U_1,\Omega)^p\prod_{m=1}^{\ell(Ch)-1}\rho(U_m,U_{m+1})^p}\max_{\Omega}|f|.$$

Taking infimum over all the chains in $CH(z,\Omega,\mathcal{U})$ completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

Let us give a weaker, but more simple version of Theorem 5.1. We assume that $\Omega\subset G\subset Y$, and f as before, and fix a certain doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of G in Y, such that f is sectionally p-valent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$.

Let us make the following assumption on $\mathcal{U}$: there are constants $\ell(\mathcal{U})\le\kappa(\mathcal{U})$, and $\rho(\mathcal{U})>0$, such that any two points in the same connected component of G can be joined by a chain Ch in $\mathcal{U}$ of the length $\ell(Ch)\le\ell(\mathcal{U})$, with any two subsequent charts U_i,U_j in Ch satisfying $\rho(U_i,U_j)\ge\rho(\mathcal{U})$. This condition is satisfied in our main results below. Assuming in addition that $\rho(\mathcal{U},\Omega),\rho(\mathcal{U})\ge\rho$, we have the following simple and natural corollary of Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.2. *Let f be an analytic function in Y. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a doubling covering, such that f is sectionally p-valent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. Assume that $\rho(\mathcal{U},\Omega),\rho(\mathcal{U})\geq\rho$. Then, we have*

$$DC_f(G,\Omega)\leq(c_p/\rho^p)^{\ell(\mathcal{U})}\leq(c_p/\rho^p)^{\kappa(\mathcal{U})}.$$

Finally, we use Corollary 5.2 to reverse the inequality, obtaining a lower bound on the number of charts in doubling coverings in terms of the doubling constant for certain functions.

Corollary 5.3. *Let f be an analytic function in Y. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a doubling covering, such that f is sectionally p-valent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. Assume that $\rho(\mathcal{U},\Omega),\rho(\mathcal{U})\geq\rho$. Then, we have*

$$\kappa(\mathcal{U})\geq\frac{\log DC_f(G,\Omega)}{\log(c_p/\rho^p)}.$$

5.1. **Doubling inequality for polynomials on Y.** As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain an explicit bound in a doubling inequality for polynomials S of degree d_1 on hypersurfaces Y. Let $P(z)$, Y, $\Sigma=\Sigma(P)$, $G=Y\cap Q$, $\delta=\operatorname{dist}(G,\Sigma(P))>0$ be as above, and let $\mathcal{U}_Y$ be the doubling covering of G in Y constructed in Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega\subset G$ be a compact sub-domain of G. To simplify the presentation we shall assume that $\rho(\mathcal{U},\Omega)\geq\frac{1}{10}$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let Y,G,Ω be as above. Let f be a restriction of a polynomial S of degree d_1 to Y. Then, we have*

$$DC_f(G,\Omega)\leq(C_2(n,d)/K\delta)^{C_3(n,d,d_1)/K^{2n}}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we have $\kappa(\mathcal{U})\leq(C_1(n,d)/K^{2n})\log(C_2(n,d)/K\delta)$. We also have, by Theorem 3.1, $\rho=\min(\rho(\mathcal{U},\Omega),\rho(\mathcal{U}))\geq\frac{1}{10}$. Thus, in order to apply Corollary 5.2, we need to study the valency p of the restrictions of $S\circ\psi_j$ to the straight lines L in $\bar{B}_1$, for a polynomial S of degree d_1 on Y.

So, we have to bound the number of solutions of $S\circ\psi_j=h$ on such lines. Let L be defined in the subspace $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ by the affine equations $l_i=0$, $i=1,\dots,n-2$, which we extend to $\mathbb{C}^n$ via the projection π.

The solutions of $S \circ \psi_j = h$ on L are in a one-to-one correspondence with the points, defined in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by the system of n equations

$$l_i = 0 \ , i = 1, \dots, n-2 \ , P = c \ , S = h$$

of degrees $1, d, d_1$, respectively. By Bézout theorem, this number is at most dd_1. So, we set $\rho = \frac{1}{10}$, $p = dd_1$ in Corollary 5.2, and obtain

$$DC_f(G, \Omega) \leq (10^p c_p)^{(C_1/K^{2n}) \log(C_2/K\delta)} = (C_2/K\delta)^{C_3/K^{2n}}$$

where $C_3 = C_3(n, d, d_1) = \log(10^p c_p) C_1(n, d)$, with $p = dd_1$. □

6. Concluding remarks

6.1. **The hyperbola $H_\varepsilon = \{zy = \varepsilon^2\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$, and similar curves.** The example of hyperbola $H_\varepsilon = \{xy = \varepsilon^2\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ plays a prominent role in study of smooth parameterizations. Already C^k-parameterization of the real hyperbola $Q_\varepsilon^{real} = H_\varepsilon \cap I^2$, for $k \geq 2$ is a nontrivial question. Finding an exact number of charts in C^2-parameterization of H_ε^{real} was suggested as an exercise in [14]. This exercise was partially completed in [34], where also some initial results on the complexity of analytic parameterizations of H_ε^{real} were obtained. How many mild charts (with fixed parameters) do we need to cover H_ε^{real}, as ε tends to zero, is an open question. Application of Theorem 3.1, and of Corollary 5.3 provide the following result:

Theorem 6.1. *There is a doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $G_\varepsilon = H_\varepsilon \cap Q$ in H_ε such that $\rho(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{1}{10}$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{U}) \leq c_1 \log(c_2/\varepsilon)$, where c_1, c_2 are absolute constants. For any doubling covering $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of G_ε with $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \geq \frac{1}{10}$, we have $\kappa(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \geq c_3 \log(1/\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. The polynomial $P(z, y) = zy - \varepsilon^2$, defining H_ε, has the only singular point at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and the norm of $\nabla P(z, y) = (y, z)$ is exactly the distance $|z|^2 + |y|^2$ from the point (z, y) to the origin. So, $K = K(P) = 1$. Now, the distance of H_ε to the origin is equal to $\sqrt{2}\varepsilon$, and it is achieved along the "vanishing cycle" $z = \varepsilon e^{i\theta}, y = \varepsilon e^{-i\theta}$. Application of Theorem 3.1 provides the required doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of G_ε, with $\kappa(\mathcal{U}) \leq c_1 \log(c_2/\varepsilon)$, where c_1, c_2 are absolute constants.

Consider now a linear polynomial y restricted to H_e. Its maximal absolute value on G is one. Put $\Omega = \{|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \cap G$. We have $\max_\Omega |y| = 2\varepsilon^2$. Therefore, we get $DC_y(G, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}$. By Corollary 5.3, we conclude that in any doubling covering $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of G in H_ε, with $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}), \rho(\mathcal{U}, \Omega) \geq \frac{1}{10}$ the number of charts $\kappa(\mathcal{U})$ is at least $c_3 \log(1/\varepsilon)$, with c_3 an absolute constant. □

In the same way we can work with more general polynomials $P(z, y)$ representable as products of regular factors. In particular, consider a polynomial

$$P(z, y) = z(z-1)\cdots(z-d)y(y-1)\cdots(y-d)$$

of degree $2d+2$. This polynomial has exactly $(d-1)^2$ isolated non-degenerate singular points. Proceeding as above, we construct a doubling covering of the curve $Y_\varepsilon = \{P(z, y) = \varepsilon^2\}$ in a cube Q_{d+1} of size $d+1$, with an order of $(d-1)^2 \log(1/\varepsilon)$ charts, and show that for any doubling covering the number of charts must be of the same order.

6.2. **Higher-dimensional quadrics.** Let

$$P(z) = P(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^2.$$

As for the hyperbola, P has the only singular point at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and the norm of $\nabla P(z) = (2z_1, \ldots, 2z_n)$ is exactly twice the distance from the point z to the origin. So, $K = K(P) = 2$. Consider

$$Y_\varepsilon = \{P(z) = \varepsilon^2\}.$$

The distance of Y_ε to the origin is equal to ε, and it is achieved at the real points of the form $(0, 0, \ldots, \pm\varepsilon, 0, \ldots 0)$. Indeed, for any point $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in Y_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\|z\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |z_j|^2 \geq |\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^2| = \varepsilon^2.$$

As above, Theorem 3.1 produces a doubling covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $G = H_\varepsilon \cap Q$ with not more than $c_5 \log(c_6/\delta)$ charts, where c_5, c_6 depend only on n.

Algebraic geometry of complex algebraic hypersurfaces, considered from the point of view of doubling coverings and doubling inequalities provides a variety of important phenomena. We plan to present some further results in this direction separately. In particular, it would be very interesting to estimate the covering complexity of the Brieskorn-Milnor fibers $P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^{k_j} = \varepsilon$. However, in this case the singular point of P at the origin, although isolated, is not non-degenerate any more, and Theorem 3.1 does not work.

References

[1] H. Aikawa, *Extended Harnack inequalities with exceptional sets and a boundary Harnack principle*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique **124** (2014), 83–115.

[2] M. Biernacki, *Sur les fonctions multivalentes d'ordre p*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **203** (1936), 449–451.

[3] G. Binyamini and D. Novikov, *The Pila-Wilkie theorem for subanalytic families: a complex analytic approach*, arXiv:1605.04537.

[4] ———, *Wilkie's conjecture for restricted elementary functions*, arXiv:1605.04671.

[5] L. P. Bos and P. D. Milman, *Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Markov type inequalities on subanalytic domains*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), no. 6, 853–923.

[6] A. Brudnyi, *A Bernstein-type inequality for algebraic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), 93–116.

[7] Alexander Brudnyi and Yuri Brudnyi, *Methods of geometric analysis in extension and trace problems. Volume 2.*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 103, Birkhuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.

[8] D. Burguet, *A proof of Yomdin-Gromov's algebraic lemma*, Israel J. Math. **168** (2008), 291–316.

[9] D. Burguet, G. Liao, and J. Yang, *Asymototic h-expansiveness rate of C^∞ maps*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **(3) 111** (2015), no. 2, 381–419.

[10] R. Cluckers, J. Pila, and A. Wilkie, *Uniform parametrization of subanalytic sets and Diophantine applications*, arXiv:1605.05916.

[11] C. Fefferman and R. Narasimhan, *On the polynomial-like behaviour of certain algebraic functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **44** (1994), no. 2, 1091-1179.

[12] O. Friedland and Y. Yomdin, *(s,p)-valent functions*, to appear.

[13] ———, *Doubling coverings and doubling inequalities on complements of algebraic hypersurfaces*, in preparation.

[14] M. Gromov, *Entropy, homology and semialgebraic geometry*, Astérisque **145-146** (1987), 5, 225–240. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1985/86.

[15] P. Harjulehto, R. Hurri-Syrjnen, and A. V. Vähäkangas, *On the* $(1, p)$ *-Poincaré inequality*, Illinois J. Math. **56** (2012), no. 3, 905–930.

[16] W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 110, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[17] A. Käenmäki, J. Lehrbäck, and M. Vuorinen, *Dimensions, Whitney Covers, and Tabular Neighborhoods*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **62** (2013), no. 6, 1861–1889.

[18] S. Kobayashi, *Intrinsic metrics on complex manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 347–349.

[19] S. J. Miller, *An identity for sums of polylogarithm functions*, Integers **8** (2008), A15, 10.

[20] O. Martio and M. Vuorinen, *Whitney cubes, p-capacity, and Minkovski content*, Expo. Math. **5** (1987), 17–40.

[21] J. Pila, *Mild parameterization and the rational points of a Pfaff curve*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **55** (2006), no. 1, 1–8.

[22] ———, *Counting rational points on a certain exponential-algebraic surface*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **60** (2010), no. 2, 489–514.

[23] J. Pila and A. J. Wilkie, *The rational points of a definable set*, Duke Math. J. **133** (2006), no. 3, 591–616.

[24] N. Roytwarf and Y. Yomdin, *Bernstein classes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **47** (1997), no. 3, 825–858.

[25] Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[26] M. E. M. Thomas, *An o-minimal structure without mild parameterization*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **162** (2011), no. 6, 409–418.

[27] A. J. Van der Poorten, *On the number of zeros of functions*, Enseignement Math. (2) **23** (1977), no. 1-2, 19–38.

[28] A. L. Vol'berg and S. V. Konyagin, *On measures with the doubling condition*, Math. USSR-Izv. **30** (1988), no. 3, 629–638.

[29] H. Whitney, *Analytic extensions of functions defined in closed sets*, Trans. AMS **36** (1934), no. 1, 63–89.

[30] Y. Yomdin, *Volume growth and entropy*, Israel J. Math. **57** (1987), no. 3, 285–300.

[31] ———, C^k*-resolution of semialgebraic mappings. Addendum to: "Volume growth and entropy"*, Israel J. Math. **57** (1987), no. 3, 301–317.

[32] ———, *Local complexity growth for iterations of real analytic mappings and semicontinuity moduli of the entropy*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **11** (1991), no. 3, 583–602.

[33] ———, *Some quantitative results in Singularity Theory*, Ann. Polon. Math. **87** (2005), no. 2, 277–299.

[34] ———, *Analytic reparametrization of semi-algebraic sets*, J. Complexity **24** (2008), no. 1, 54–76.

[35] ———, *Smooth Parametrizations in Analysis, Dynamics, Diophantine and Computational Geometry*, Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics **32** (2015), no. 2, 411–435.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France.
E-mail address: omer.friedland@imj-prg.fr

Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel.
E-mail address: yosef.yomdin@weizmann.ac.il